The Bob Cummings Show’s “Artists at ‘Work’”
Gender Transitive Programming and Counterpublicity

“There are three kinds of people—men, women, and actors.”

Broadcasting the media industries’ normative allegiances in all the predictable ways, television culture’s self-representations in the latter half of the 1950s prioritized concerns about the set’s role in family relations (for example, its potential disruption of the newly consecrated ideal of mutual sexual satisfaction in marriage). As if oblivious to such parochial concerns, a blissful sitcom called *The Bob Cummings Show* seized on the small screen to showcase backstage filmmaking instead of domestic medium specificity and to pursue erotic pleasure through a “state of single blessedness” rather than in conventional coupling.

During its original airing between 1955 and 1959 and in syndication as *Love That Bob*, *The Bob Cummings Show* depicted the exploits and pranks of bachelor Bob Collins, a celebrity photographer of Hollywood stars, and his network of mostly unmarried friends. In light of publicity conventions, an advertising dynamic in which Bob’s studio assistant, Schultzy (Ann B. Davis) was figured as an internal critic in some promotional images and was entirely absent from others indicates this series’ departures from more familiar programs of the era. In fact, despite their formal limitations, print illustrations of *The Bob Cummings Show* often represented the show’s aesthetic and erotic interest in the politics of media production by reproducing *The Bob Cummings Show*’s own use of double entendre and triangulated character relationships. In one picture, Schultzy looks at Bob, who holds a developing photograph of a fashion model. The caption “Negative Reaction” is a double entendre. Playing on the process of printing photographs, the phrase invokes the meeting of emulsion and light to reference the production of media.
In this illustration representing an important but intangible dynamic of *The Bob Cummings Show*, photographers Shultzzy and Bob Collins produce a euphemistic “negative reaction.”

series’ frank discussions of sexual chemistry and its themes of inverted perspectives. “Negative Reaction” also refers to Bob and Schultzy’s anti-normative relationship. The characters don’t lack sexual chemistry; they relate to one another in a mode incompatible with the naturalized gender dynamics that condition traditional romantic rapport. In the insular context of the Bob Collins “cheesecake” factory, the “negative reaction” between Shultzzy and her boss is not one of simple repulsion, but rather a specialized process akin to photography. Bob and Shultzzy turn to the visual language of the photographic negative so as to imagine its transformation in representation: their relationship, and the show as a whole, eroticizes gender transitivity—queer culture’s tendency to resignify dominant forms of gender differentiation in opposition to sexual normativity.

The tensions between this series and the discursive system in the postwar U.S. are so thorough that the program represents a counterpublic: a social formation “understood to be not merely a subset of the public but constituted through a conflictual relation to the dominant public.” In general, publicity for *The Bob Cummings Show* addressed an intertextual industry audience in Southern California, New York City, and elsewhere at the same time as it promoted the fictionalized Bob Collins Studio to viewers beyond the confines of show business. The *Los Angeles Times* and *Mirror and Daily News* published photographs of character actors Nancy Kulp and Rose Marie in anticipation of their appearances on upcoming episodes, and TV magazines profiled Davis, who received four Emmy nominations for her portrayal of Collins’ assistant Shultzzy. Network depictions of *The Bob Cummings Show*, in contrast, often adapted the popular formulas industry advertisers used to negotiate the television set as a conduit to “another woman” suggestive of infidelity. In representing the fashion models in the program as its primary attraction, the series sidestepped dominant industry strategies intent on tempering “blue humor,” but continued to address its target audience with the spectacle of “available” women. Adopting this paradigm of engrossed husbands as one of its advertising strategies rendered *The Bob Cummings Show* threatening to family tranquility by virtue of its apparent heteronormativity, but in a manner in tension with most married viewers’ domestic maneuvers. While some of its publicity hyped Collins’ sexual prowess with promiscuous women according to “the structural relations of female competition for male attention” that Lynn Spigel has argued ordered gendered roles in the postwar home, this series and many of its print paratexts overturned audience expectations for aggressive “wolf.”

Emblematic of *The Bob Cummings Show’s* “zany crew,” Nancy Kulp’s “Bird Watcher” character Pamela Livingstone was used to promote the series in this 1958 *Los Angeles Times* publicity spot.
behavior with narrative flourishes reminiscent of screwball film and replaced heterosexist paradigms of adultery with representations of mutual non-monogamy. Schultzy’s “negative reaction” to Bob and his pin-up prototype, for example, does not represent dissatisfaction with her boss’s choice of models over marriage (a response critics commonly attributed to the character). In fact, in the insular context established by the series’ textual field Schultzy is as likely to confirm her devotion to her boss, voice a critical opinion of Collins, or bypass Bob in her relationship with the woman pictured.

Although *The Bob Cummings Show* could have consistently showcased its quasi-familial cast to court fans of domesticcoms or promoted its parade of international fashion models entirely according to an androcentric “window on women” paradigm, its press relations often bypassed these more conventional, gender differentiated, and gender reifying marketing strategies with publicity that adopted the program’s own use of sexual innuendo, mobile gender signifiers, and cheeky photography metaphors. Even campaigns that sought to downplay the sexual content of a simplified series text signaled *The Bob Cummings Show*’s queer scene of production and anti-heteronormative forms of discourse. Public relations suggesting that Bob, Schultzy, Bob’s sister Margaret (Rosemary DeCamp), and his nephew Chuck (Dwayne Hickman) composed a family akin to the casts of other half-hour comedies incorporated a number of the show’s rebellious features, but needed to consecrate the union of this queer collective in order to do so. Not quite nuclear, the intimate ensemble traversed Bob’s Beverly Hills home, which he shared with Margaret and Chuck, in their matchmaking schemes, publicity stunts, and commercial production. The cast was most recognizably familial in that Bob financially supported Schultzy and his relatives, regularly trickling money through Margaret to Chuck in excess of his allowance, and to Schultzy on top of her paycheck. NBC took a publicity photo of these four characters and Chuck’s Hollywood High School girlfriend in the style of a family portrait, but the series was not commonly promoted as an alternative family situation. Indeed, even the most domestic of *The Bob Cummings Show*’s high jinks failed to affirm the Cold War era rhetoric of family “togetherness.” In an emblematic episode called “Bob Plays Margaret’s Game,” Margaret and Chuck blackmail Bob with the help of Schultzy’s male
persona Pierre, who Bob knows only as “the most expensive gigolo in Hollywood.” After hijacking Bob’s car and divvying their loot, Margaret and Schultzy split with Chuck for separate nights out on the town. The final sequence of the episode highlights the opportunities Hollywood presents them and the queer affiliations possible in this particular social milieu by parading boulevard lights unfurling in its filmed background.

As its Los Angeles location and the “Negative Reaction” illustration indicates, *The Bob Cummings Show* was characterized by comic self-reflexivity around the category of “cheesecake,” a solid helping of equally eroticized “beefcake” (the tongue-in-cheek period terms for mass-produced sex objects), and a collection of recipes for mixing in moving images the two usually still and otherwise exclusive iconographies, which the series consistently denaturalized in its backstage setting. While it was technically sound and narratively standardized, the sitcom fell happily short of the moral standards expected of television programming. A 1956 *Variety* reviewer wrote that *The Bob Cummings Show*’s “combination of corn, slapstick and sex,” succeeded only because “everybody acts as though [Cummings is] improvising on a camp picnic.”8 Bob shared his blanket and basket with Schultzy, a fellow expert in evaluating “curves,” and Pamela Livingstone (Nancy Kulp), an obsessive “bird watcher” who repeatedly pronounced herself cheesecake for Collins’ consumption without any; both sported enormous appetites for Bob.9 Pamela, Schultzy, and Margaret co-existed with a significantly mixed crowd of women, including reporters, secretaries, waitresses, gossip columnists, aspiring actresses, foreign models, up-and-coming personalities, and full-fledged stars. The series’ high kissing quota and shocking innuendo (expect racy condom references, for example), are hardly as surprising as the series’ varied cast of women characters and their unusual relationships to the unmarried and effeminate main character. Even the seemingly most conventional aspects of *The Bob Cummings Show* disrupt the heteronormative process Lynne Joyrich has called “tele-containment,” in which the television industry promotes sexuality as a product (in the conceptual form of sexual identity or the accoutrements of gender conformity) while “retaining its simultaneous anxiety around sexuality as practice.”10 This medium-specific, identity-based system was especially effective when it intersected with postwar America’s “elaborate courtship etiquette,” which Elaine Tyler May argues was a “method of containing sex,” and the virulent Cold War era transmedia containment discourses, which were motored by their demonization of homosexuality and homophobic Othering of social dissenters and ethnic and racialized minorities.11

Although television programming was restrictive and conservative overall, episodic comedy series and variety shows routinely aligned cultural producers like Bob and Schultzy and Bob and Pamela in quasi-romantic gender queer pairs. *The Bob Cummings Show* was the most successful forerunner of a sitcom cycle featuring unmarried comic characters that denaturalized gender difference, aestheticized gender transgressions, euphemistically embellished a generalized notion of eccentricity, invested in anti-social types, and parodied normative sexual scripts. On this series alone, regulars Schultzy and Pamela, recurring characters played by Rose Marie and Marjorie Bennett, and the rest of Bob’s cross-gender coded suitors are entirely unaware of or deliberately flout a textual and social system expecting them to “snare” men “passively...with bait rather than a net.”12 While some might dismiss these characters as a marker of superficiality in the most frivolous of genres, I would argue that these characters enacted an important “negative reaction” to otherwise uncontestable structuring relationships. In depicting commercial artists confronting the institutional and ideological constraints of the culture industries, this comedy programming eroticized the uncompromising presentation of gendered characteristics discouraged in the dominant ideology of everyday life and media production. In fact, a loose field of Cold War era industrial artists camping on the mutual construction of entertainment industry hierarchies and normative gender roles produced anti-heteronormative gender dynamics between men and women as well as specifically homo-erotics.13 In response, network broadcasts and national print media unwittingly established a queer interpretive context for this work.14 As a result, the counterpublicity of culture industry products such as *The Bob Cummings Show* condition the possibilities
for contemporary transgender aesthetics and queer political formation as much as do medical paradigms, neo-liberal identity discourses, or a specific 1990s cultural “moment.”15

In order to negotiate The Bob Cummings Show’s relationship to the gender roles and “togetherness” rhetoric that inspired suburban domesticity and was the dominant metaphor of postwar consumption during the era, journalists reporting on Bob Cummings’ film and television projects focused on the actor’s marriage and family life in addition to his sophisticated taste and the “boyish” sex appeal he retained from his “lighthearted” film roles in the 1930s and 1940s (though he was 47 years old when the series began). Leading up to its debut on CBS in January 1955, Bob Cummings Show publicity began to center on the star’s unique capacity to portray a bachelor. Writers commonly asserted a contradiction between Cummings’ personal life and the characters he played, marveling at his ability to invoke the reputation of a playboy even though he was not himself single. In a CBS commercial directed to “homemakers,” for example, a woman comments knowingly to her peers: “Perhaps it has also struck you as ironic that one of the most happily married husbands in America should star...in the role of a highly eligible but dyed in the wool bachelor...Who is this paradox?” By asserting Cummings/Collins as a strange combination of wedded bliss and single blessedness, CBS assured conservative audiences that they could safely let Bob Collins into their living rooms even if his duplicity and the show’s “parade of pulchritude” raised their suspicions. “Ironic or not,” the monologue continues, “The Bob Cummings Show is high on my list of ‘never to be missed’ programs.” In the context of Cold War containment, publicity about Cummings’ marriage and his capacity to appear unmarried invested in the star’s “paradoxical” relationship to sex and marriage as it invoked codes for homosexuality. In keeping with the ambivalence of postwar constructions of cultural difference, homosexuals were understood to be both anti-American and “distinctively American,” simultaneously dangerous and “attractive.”16 As a naturally flamboyant bachelor but a happily married family man—as well as the ideal husband and father but a polyamorous pleasure-seeking sophisticate—Cummings and his Collins doppelganger glamorized the cultural markers and double-crossing narratives that more commonly depicted lesbians and gay men as pathological, criminal, and undesirable.

Spigel explains that in the American suburbs of the cold war era, television’s blurring of private and public space became a powerful tool in the hands of housewives who could use the technology to invert the sexist hierarchies at the heart of the separation of spheres. In this topsy-turvy world, women policed men’s access to the public sphere and confined them to the home through the clever manipulation of television technology.17

In sharp contrast to the reversed spousal hierarchies and sexual surveillance of domestic family life, The Bob Cummings Show represented its own world with its own gender inversions and “topsy-turvy” potential. While this program is embedded in the broader processes at work in the television industry’s reconstitution of social hierarchies for mid-century U.S. consumer culture, it in many ways set itself up against popular discourses invested in these hierarchies. In this way, The Bob Cummings Show indicates the extent to which counterpublic formations permeated the media industries during the early Cold War era despite its homophobia and the industry’s relentless assimilation of subculturally marked comedy for a national market. Michael Warner articulates the relationship between these artistic formations and the postwar culture in which they worked when he describes the relationship between a counterpublic’s “ethical-political imagination” and the impoverished vision of the public that dominates it. He writes,

Dominant publics are by definition those that can take their discourse pragmatics and their lifeworlds for granted, misrecognizing the indefinite scope of their expansive address as universality or normalcy. Counterpublics are spaces of circulation in which it is hoped that the poesis of scene making will be transformative, not replicative merely.18
Shamelessly preoccupied with inseparable questions of sex and fashion, The Bob Cummings Show represents a “negative reaction” to the gender system in which it was produced and in many ways persists.

Topsy-Turvy Turnabouts: Publicizing Gender Transitive Erotics

In writing about The Bob Cummings Show in 1989, thirty years after its original broadcast, television historian David Marc retrospectively reinforces the dominant “cheesecake” paradigm even as he circulates once more the signifiers that contributed initially to the series’ counterpublic discourse. Contrasting contemporary gay codes to the presumed heteronormativity of the past, Marc notes that the Collins character, “in dress and gesture...strikes the contemporary viewer as a gay sunbelt computer programmer. But Bob—sporting a perfect tan through his short-sleeve open-neck leisure shirt—was the premier ladies’ man.”

As Ron Gregg argues about press relations in the pre-Production Code film industry, “It is not just retrospectively that highlighting such tastes seems a deliberate cue.” In fact, Hollywood publicists—regardless of their sexual practices or sexual self-identification—were “not only familiar with, but participated in the highly developed gay subculture in Los Angeles and with the gay world beyond southern California.” The Bob Collins’ character’s sense of style was in many ways continuous with the celebrity image of Bob Cummings’, who won “best dressed” and “best groomed” awards, and whose own Beverly Hills home, furnished with a “sophisticated blend of contemporary and Oriental décor,” sported either pink or coral couches. Writing about the insider mode of address used to promote MGM actor William Haines in the 1930s, Gregg demonstrates that the media industries cued wardrobes and home décor to attract privy viewers to particular stars, glamorizing their facility with homosexual codes. For instance, Photoplay reported that Haines’ home had “lace pillow slips in a bedroom that would make Clara Bow’s look like a fireman’s.” Although television executives are perhaps less likely to have encouraged the systematic promotion of such queer comparisons, especially as popular interest in lesbian and gay life was itself less publicized during the “security risk” discrimination of the blacklist era, local circuits of performance, service, and sex work like the earlier social constellation Gregg details undoubtedly remained integral to daily life and cultural production in Hollywood, a network consistently signaled by gossip and fashion reports feminizing men in relation to female masculinity. The continuity of queer commercial art across the transition to television is evident in The Bob Cummings Show’s provocative conflation of the fictional character Bob Collins and actual commercial artists like Hesse and his apprentice Wallace Seawell, portrait artists commissioned.
by Hollywood studios. Known as glamorous “photographers to the stars” from the height of the studio era through the series’ broadcast, they produced television indirectly as rich local intertexts and behind-the-scenes starmakers.

This commercial scene is one social formation in “a history of moments to which the gender separatist models [of sexual difference] just won’t answer.”

Yet gender transitivity, the universalizing counterpart to primary gender differentiation that produces femininity and masculinity as mobile across dichotomous categories of male and female in queer subjects, can flirt dangerously with the “heterosexualizing presumption” of the inversion model of homosexuality.

Canadian television scholar Paul Attalah, for example, in an otherwise excellent article on the sitcom form, writes that Nancy Kulp’s Beverly Hillbillies character “combines within herself a pronounced feminine desire with all the traits of masculinity, right down to her manner of dress and comportment.” Continuous with Kulp’s Livingstone character on The Bob Cummings Show, her Hathaway character disrupted the naturalized causal connections ascribed to an individual’s sexed body, gender identity, and sexual orientation during the Beverly Hillbillies’ nine season run from 1962 to 1971. Attalah’s analysis appreciates one aspect of the character’s conceptual displacements, but maintains erotic object choice as the ground for a comic misalignment between the body and soul, entities that, even in such a hyperbolic fictional character, remain in his mind naturally sexed. That is, Attalah identifies Hathaway as heterosexual through the same mechanism that figures homosexuality as a congenital inversion of natural opposite-sex drives. He understands her as “for all intents and purposes…a man who just happens to be a woman,” yet claims that Jane’s erotic desire for men normatively matches her gender—her biological sex, which in Attalah’s argument trumps masculine presentation as the ground for gender recognition.

He attributes an essentially feminine quality to Jane Hathaway’s erotic desires, seeing sexual attraction to men—no matter how inter- and extratextually non-normative they are—as evidence of a “pronounced feminine desire.” In a queer interpretive context, Kulp’s character can be seen to assert an erotics of difference within an otherwise conventional opposite-sex object choice through her cross-sex presentation, her ongoing pursuit of simple, beefy Jethro Clampett, and her extraordinarily complementary official union with fellow ornithologist Professor P. Caspar Biddle, played by Wally Cox.

Eve Sedgwick critiques the inversion trope on two counts: it aligns lesbians and gay men with heterosexuals of their own gender rather than each other based on an essential gender difference and
minoritizes the sexual difference of homosexuality by positioning “same-sex” invested individuals between genders. According to Sedgwick, the invert figure is emblematic of a minoritizing impulse in that its liminality instigates the disciplinary processes of “gendering sex.” But denaturalized inversions have transitive potential. When gender transitivity is universalized in queer difference that is not primarily either “same-” or “opposite-sex,” the gender transitive movement of inversion becomes itself a sexual act antagonistic to the homo/hetero distinction and other gender-naturalizing ways of relating identification and desire. The comic repertoires of many mid-century U.S. artists displaced the “invert” explanation of homosexuality by queering gender—by disrupting this otherwise “transparent and unexaminable part of the ‘common sense’ of twentieth-century sexual tropology.” In the context of The Bob Cummings Show, for example, Schultz and Pamela’s erotic choice attests to their queer difference instead of acting as its alibi or antidote. In an intuitive sense, this kind of TV comedy is gender “transitive” in that its characters produce gender as a perpetual “transition,” passing their unexplained and changeable leanings on to one another while agitating static and unresponsive gendered forms.

As publicity for The Bob Cummings Show adopted the series’ own use of camera equipment and photography related props, it often figured the program’s interference within dominant models for understanding gender and sex. For example, a newspaper advertisement published in The Los Angeles Mirror and Daily News at the start of what would be the series’ final season showed two women inspecting a photographed portrait of Bob Collins. The caption identifies the scene as a “bit of turnabout play.” Although a turnabout can indicate a simple reversal (these models appraise Bob, but usually Bob plays Pygmalion), here “turnabout” suggests a more fundamental if incomplete transformation, or a comprehensive undoing of the expected (Have the models taken over the studio? Is “beefcake” suddenly as acceptable as “cheesecake”? ). What exactly is turned-about in the photograph’s flip-flop of Bob and his models is unclear. In the consistency of figures across gender role rearrangement, the affected concern of Darrow and Lloyd, and the caption’s suggestion of whimsical inconsistency, it represents a “shift or reversal of…tendency” rather than a definitive reversal.

Although a “tendency’s” defining feature is ostensibly the inherent quality of its “leaning, bias…[or] bent,” the unsettling aspect of this form of predilection is its impulsive transitivity; consider how the euphemistic and noncommittal form of the otherwise immediately reifying category of homosexuality (as in “tendencies toward…”) indicates with a knowing insider’s nod the perversity of unspecified but forbidden attractions and sex acts. As the title of Sedgwick’s collection of essays, Tendencies, and its Ken Brown cover art promises, the tendency is itself the perversion—that continuing contagion of queer conversion. Print representations can quell the movement...
of gender transitive filmed programming, but at the same time cannot help but contribute to the ethereal force of a queer lesbian and gay history accumulating across media forms.

Every Man Should Be a Wolf: Schultzy and Pamela Help Bob

In Cummings’ star persona, as in his fictional characters’ relationships and their representations in print, an externalized and intersubjective trope of gender inversion—not of gender inversion as homosexuality, but of gender inversion as gender inversion: as topsy-turvy turnabout play—signals the incoherence between gender transitive and gender separatist models apart from the naturalizing formulations of “same-” or “opposite-sex” attraction. As articles highlighting Cummings’ unusual preference for series television over feature film contracts (“Bob Cummings’ Method is Effective but Odd”) gave way to reports representing the unfamiliar form of character Bob Collins’ interest in women (“Bob May Be For Girls But Girls Not For Him”) and the suspicious relationship between the actor and his character (“Cummings Plays Wolf Too Well”), publications searching for an angle stated that Bob was a bachelor despite being married, or a playboy because he had a wife.34 In fact, a column attributed to Mary Cummings was titled “I Married a Bachelor.” The caption read, “Although Robert Cummings has been married for ten years and is the father of four children, his lovely wife Mary proves that the man’s still a bachelor.”35 Others quoted Cummings’ lewd proclamations that playboys actually make the best husbands. While journalists occasionally reported that Mary Cummings was present on The Bob Cummings Show set, they usually either omitted the fact that Mary was Bob’s business manager and president of their production company, or described her professional involvement in order to raise “paradoxical” questions about their extratextual partnership that paralleled the ambiguous role of publicity in the Collins character’s fictional dating. (In discovering new talent around town, for example, Mary was scandalously recruiting women to dally with her own husband.) The potentially disreputable situation of the couple’s “private” life only contributed to the “irony” that Cummings was, as Look magazine reported, considered by some “a self-made man and the creation of his wife, Mary” by others.36

As this quick survey indicates, magazine profiles to a certain extent supported the conceptual dynamics of Cummings’s sitcom role and star persona. While publicity discourses were able to accommodate the contradictions of the Cummings/Collins figure, whether as a married bachelor or a playboy husband, the same publicity discourses rarely indicated differences between television women in print beyond typing them “plain” or “pulchritudinous,” much less represented their various ambivalences around coupling and marriage. In fact, it proved difficult for critics writing on the show to present any two of The Bob Cummings Show’s trajectories of seduction simultaneously to their readers. In a CBS public relations account of Bob’s “pursuit of pulchritude” and Schultzy’s pursuit of Bob, for example, writers attempted to explain Bob and Schultzy’s respective socio-sexual ambitions efficiently, standardizing their respective drives in order to clarify the premise of the series within the narrative economy of the press release. Bob’s life, the ad explains, is “complicated by the fact that, while, on the one hand, he plays pursuer of this parade of pulchritude, he is on the other hand the pursued—the quarry of his plain but adoring assistant.”37 As the provocative captioning of Bob Cummings Show stills in secondary texts like “Negative Reaction” suggests, the briefer that writers needed to be, the more poetic their descriptive prose about the series became. In this case, they establish a parallel between Schultzy and Bob’s desires in order to articulate clearly (if not entirely accurately) the characters’ basic relationship to one another in just a few sentences. Without the strategic “paradoxical” constructions of a simultaneous desire for sex and single blessedness in women as in the figure of Bob, publications consistently failed to acknowledge a potential disparity between “marital mindedness” and “man-hunting.” The fact that these mechanical clichés are the only traces of a rich archive of queer comedy programming in newspaper reviews and magazine articles suggests cultural custodians reluctant or unable to conceive of relationships between men and women in which women were not structurally disadvantaged.
Nevertheless, this television repertoire denaturalized gender difference and made queer codes mobile in its construction across media platforms. As the defining features of camp texts were reproduced for publicity, character relationships signified according to counterpublic forms. The “Negative Reaction” ad is representative of this discourse in that it eroticizes Bob and Schultzy’s similarity, conveying information about the characters through *The Bob Cummings Show*’s formal strategies as it selectively encodes or incompletely translates the series text. Specifically, writers invest Schultzy with a traditionally masculine romantic agility by likening the character to Bob, while Collins’/Cummings’ playboy qualities are decidedly feminine, and differently so in different textual venues. Although the CBS commercial describes a “Bob” that actively pursues women and is passive “quarry” of only a single, anomalous female pursuer, the series itself and other secondary texts represent Bob as an object pursued by many women. As a result, the tentative ad copy contrasts Schultzy to a passive “parade of pulchritude,” as a broader series context compares her to both positions simultaneously. In this formulation, Schultzy traverses gendered signifiers that differentiate Bob and the models, signifiers that have already passed between the two figures (each is an active seducer and object of desire in relation to the other), or will be when triangulated with her (models often encourage Schultzy to pursue Collins, and if Bob and a model are not involved, Schultzy mediates their ambivalent professional relationship and potential courtship). This interplay between the program and its publicity produces a counterpublic context for Schultzy, Bob, and the pinups’ “negative reaction.”

In its internal press relations within the media industries and in supposedly more impartial cultural criticism and popular reporting, Bob Collins was
positioned as an object pursued and criticized, adored and attacked. As *TV Star Annual* wrote, "Never, in the history of television, have so many women—all beautiful—pursued one man with such vigor and determination. ...They hound him, surround him, vamp him, all but catch him." Thus the press release compares Schultzy's seduction tactics to Bob's at the same time as Bob's tactics eschew the sexist forms of pursuit the naturalizing language of "the hunt" suggests, both in print texts like this magazine profile and many *Bob Cummings Show* episodes. It is in her futile attempts to seduce Bob that the Schultzy character departs from an inversion model of homosexuality that would masculinize her according to dominant codes of gender difference. Displacing the privileged relationship between women and femininity and men and masculinity, the series text models Schultzy's pursuit on effeminate Bob's wordplay and passivity, at the same time as her desire mimics the models' "vigorous hounding" of him. In this way, the potential connection between each characters' gender and sexual object choice conflicts with the heteronormative matrix that demands their alignment in heterosexuality (masculine Bob should actively pursue passive women; feminine Schultzy should make herself bait for prowling Bob) or their inversion in homosexuality (where a femme Bob would necessarily desire men or masculine women; a wolfish Pamela would pursue women as a man “trapped” in a female body). Even CBS's straightforward gender reversals of the active-passive courtship dichotomy indicate the insular “topsy-turvy” movements of a less conservative text. Their description of Bob as Schultzy's quarry produces queer gender through dominant codes for gender deviance (hunting as a masculine activity; a secretary's "plain" hair and wardrobe), but at the same time sets the character within a counterpublic system of signification by displacing the gendered ground produced by the homo/hetero distinction of sexual object choice. In fact, while the word "quarry" identifies Bob as Schultzy's marital and/or sexual prey, it also represents him as her rival; the noun denotes an "object of pursuit" that is an intended victim—an object open to "intellectual or verbal challenge or attack." Even Schultzy's supposedly romantic pursuit of her boss comically suppresses homoerotic challenger elements that dismantle the sexual machinery constitutive of hierarchized gender difference.

Even press depictions of *The Bob Cummings Show*’s narrative architecture that accord with broader social discourses suspended heteronormativity by foregrounding homosocial desire apart from the traffic in women structuring ties between men. Publicity images and TV critics’ unelaborated shorthand identified Schultzy as a “jealous secretary” though she was rarely begrudging of the women who dated Bob. (She was also employed in the position of photographer’s assistant, not “secretary.”) Schultzy was in fact friendly and familiar with the women the jealousy model positions as her competition. One odd advertising trope within this framework of feminine backstabbing depicts Schultzy’s violent aggression toward Bob, rather than the photogenic subjects she supposedly longs to replace. In fact, the sexist culture of rivalry this phrase invokes was decidedly uncharacteristic of *The Bob Cummings Show* situation, but the images the motif generates are indicative nonetheless of the queer affinities it produces among women characters in relation to
In the disjuncture between their still image and captions, these ads invoke the show’s calling card prank narrative in which women conspire with one another against Bob rather than fight with one another for him, as they would within the gendered paradigm Spigel’s work outlines.

In the episode “Bob Helps Anna Maria,” for example, Schultzy helps the “Italian Shirley Temple” Anna Maria Alberghetti masquerade as a vamp in order to convince Bob she can play against type. When Bob discovers their scheme, he joins the show, intimidating Anna Maria with an impersonation of a predatory “wolf.” With Schultzy looking on, she and Anna Maria turn the tables on Bob once more; Anna Maria chases him away by dramatically accepting his advances, forcing Collins to interrupt his role in the charade. In the end, Bob is chagrined to find the producers of a new Carmen film and real-life gossip columnist Sheila Graham in his office, congratulating him for discovering a cunning side to the formerly innocent singer—a role Schultzy and Anna Maria created together by commandeering his studio and ventriloquizing his authority. As this episode and others demonstrate, although the hostility and violence of the “jealousy” publicity trope is foreign to The Bob Cummings Show’s diegetic understanding of Schultzy (and is as likely to indicate a blinding misogyny on the part of newspaper men as a “man-hating” dyke directing a photo shoot), its use in some illustrated advertisements represented one of the program’s most consistently anti-sexist homoerotic narrative devices. Imaginatively embellishing the series’ established character relationships, the industrial authors replace Schultzy’s unrecognized crush on her boss with an unforeseen attempt to take his life. Wielding camera equipment behind Bob’s back, this darkly comic hyperbole reproduces Schultzy’s romantic ambivalence and queer difference within the formal constraints of print publicity. Unlike the “turnabout play” advertisement, these images ask what would happen if Bob were put out of—instead of in—the picture.

Publicity that centered on Bob, such as CBS’s paradox-themed pitch to homemakers, often hinted at an ironic “problem” he had with women but left it unexplained. Even fairly simple advertisements from early in the life of the series reproduced its self-reflexive devices about sexual representation. A similar ad included in the press packet promoting the series’ in its last season is far more versatile than any of the third-person prose commercials it includes in suggesting that Bob is himself “cheesecake” for a pack of hungry wolves. The brief text captures the sensibility of the show through its euphemistic description of characters in active pursuit of Bob Collins, an assortment that can include suitors with “pulchritude,” or “plain” and predatory figures like Schultzy, Pamela, and other similarly typed one-off characters. Productive ambiguities are generated around many of the terms in the ad’s simple command (i.e. “Watch… The Bob Cummings Show”), which tends progressively toward a rapturous state of coded “explicitness” in the racy phrase “girls…get…with.” In a transitive formulation that mirrors the show’s founding conceit, it instructs viewers to “Watch the girls” as they gaze at Bob, who is identified as a “topsy-turvy bachelor photographer.” Shown
fixing his shirt collar and smiling in a pose that suggests the pleasures of fashion and the self-fashioning for which Cummings was known, the tactile object plays the dark hues of his hair and accessories off of the grey tones of the camera technology, encouraging consumers to rotate the paper in order to see Bob upright. The “topsy-turvy” moniker refers to its own style rather than the inversion model of homosexuality or dominant reversals of husband and wife roles. While prose journalism often appropriated *The Bob Cummings Show* to reiterate the heteronormative status quo, it also universalized queer gender transitivity against the emerging gender separatist identity discourses through which homo/heterosexuality and the heteronormative recognition of men, women, masculinity, and femininity are reproduced. Pairing vivid illustrations with punch line style euphemisms, *Bob Cummings Show* publicity assisted the series’ text in the production of anti-heteronormative erotics. Compelled to generate metaphoric “twists” and “turns” to advertise its farcical plots and disregard for conventional gender roles, anonymous editors and corporate authors reproduced the possibility of counterpublic discourses in the sitcom’s print paratexts, despite their inability to represent in detail the series’ skepticism of heteronormativity.

While Margaret and Bob encourage Schultzy to “snare” a husband by cooking a stellar meal and settling for a “meathead,” the series makes this “solution” seem as unappealing as it is unlikely. In fact, while Bob, Schultzy, and Margaret (happily widowed) often played matchmaker in one another’s many dates, they shared an irreverence toward marriage. In one episode, the Bob Collins Studio gives a “confirmed bachelor” running for office a better chance at election by snapping a “family portrait” of the candidate with Margaret and a bag of oranges dressed as a baby. Before Schultzy and Bob “perform the ceremony” in the darkroom, they ask, “Do you take this woman and child to be your lawfully superimposed family?” The relationship between Schultzy and her boss shared a similar montage quality. In fact, in the episode “Schultzy’s Dream World,” Schultzy doctors photographs of herself and Bob as a couple in an attempt to convince her prying roommate and a gaggle of girls at her apartment complex that Bob is her boyfriend. While Schultzy has up to this point, we learn, regaled them with topsy-turvy stories about Bob’s hopeless pursuit of her, Schultzy’s method of distraction now fails to deflect their insinuating judgments about her disinterest in dating men besides Bob. Always in the mood for a performance, Bob happily comes to Schultzy’s aid when their suspicions persist; they masquerade through the building on their “date,” dazzling the petty onlookers and convincing those who would judge their relationship’s authenticity of its legitimacy.

The utility of Bob’s image for Schultzy is also evident in “Bob and Schultzy Reunite.” In this episode, the ensemble stages a performance in which Bob plays a retired but still ruthless boxing champ in order to scare off a roughneck intent on terrorizing Schultzy’s fabricated boyfriend and Sporting a bathing suit in the episode “Bob Goes to the Moon,” Pamela, playing her “wolf” role, surprises Bob in his studio.

“Tell me Mr. Collins, do you want to live?”: Against the backdrop of the Hollywood Hills, Pamela attempts to seduce Bob in his office.
taking her for himself. As in these more literal enactments, Bob helps Schultzy on an ongoing symbolic level. By existing as the object of her mostly imagined pursuit, he allows her to avoid unwanted suitors as well as peer surveillance. Consumed with fantasies about Bob, she is absolved of the social compulsion to marry a normal man. It is only facetiously, in fact, that Schultzy performs the “pulchritude” of Bob’s models as she pursues her boss. Her apparent attempts to “snare” Bob are performed behind his back as send ups of culture industry clichés. That is, she performs her devotion to Bob not in serious attempts to present herself to him with the standard “bait,” but in office banter with women when the boss is absent. Schultzy entertains Bob’s girlfriends by vamping performances of femininity for men.

Bob, as a matter of fact, does conceive of Schultzy as a woman, even as he repeatedly tries to make her over as one. In addition to donning a gorilla suit often for photo shoots, Schultzy poses as a variety of gender queer characters. In one episode, she stars as an effeminate French artist chasing a femme model. In another, she plays a passing woman for a Real Confessions tabloid story called “How I Fooled the Spanish Government Posing as a Girl by Señor Pancho Mendez.” When Bob explains this last assignment to her, he backhandedly complements her “very versatile face.” She jokes back about the limits of her modeling range. Despite her skill at lampooning feminine glamour, she says she lacks only the ability to impersonate conventional femininity; she is a flexible studio extra in that she “can be anything but a girl.” After proclaiming women “simple, like a typewriter,” though, Collins recognizes his assistant’s technical inclusion in this category, and apologizes confidently. “Sorry Schultzy,” he says, “I just don’t think of you as a female.” Yet again, the series stages a “turnabout” that ensures Schultzy will remain Bob’s “boyfriend.”

Schultzy’s denaturalizing turnabouts and the character’s tension with conventional femininity are also enacted through wisecracking discussions of the sexual politics of gender difference. In an emblematic exchange in the episode “Bob and the Bachelor Apartment,” Bob and Schultzy together trivialize “the female mind” in contrast to the superior intellect of “fellas” such as themselves. This scene frames the characters side-by-side in a two-shot that emphasizes their similarity within the professional setting of the office. Over the course of their conversation, Schultzy nudges her “buddy” Bob and chuckles along knowingly as he trumpets the qualities of “guys like us.” As is characteristic of the series on the whole, Schultzy undercuts the sexism of this conversation as she attempts to seduce him from the position of a male friend. All too aware of the uncertain yet often painfully direct relationship asserted between sexual object choice and gender identity, Schultzy laments about Bob, “I don’t think he knows I’m a girl...To the boss I’m just a friend. A boyfriend!” By suggesting that Collins’ identification of Schultzy as a colleague is so thorough that he cannot recognize her obvious advances, the comment lampoons the essential connection between gender identity and sexual object choice fundamental to the homo/heterosexual matrix.

Pamela is the most emblematic of Cummings’ many “boyfriends.” Their relationship went unexplained, as did their theatrical antagonism and the uncanny affinities through which Pamela camped the characteristics of Cummings’ own star persona. In episodes like “Choose Miss Coffee Break” and “Bob in Orbit,” Kulp’s Livingstone exaggerated everything from Bob’s fussy refinement, precision in speech, and attempts at seduction to his ringing endorsements of wheatgrass and carrot juice. While such characteristics are common in the non-comic “spinsters” type described by Patricia White in unInvited and the “third wheel” television specialists Judith Roof details in All About Thelma and Eve, they are also genealogically connected to the exceedingly educated, cultured, articulate, and sophisticated popular culture codes that figure male homosexuality mostly, but not exclusively, in men. In fact, Livingstone was defined by her effeminacy and characterized by signifiers of queer masculinity, like many so-called old maids.

As a matter of fact, the character is reminiscent of celebrity photographer Paul Hesse—if of anyone—in his cameo in “The Hesse Story,” a precursor episode to The Bob Cummings Show in Cummings’ first series, My Hero.

“Let Paul Hesse date who he wants!”

In the context of compulsory marriage, monogamy, and gender differentiation, depicting leading man
Bob Collins as Davis and Kulp’s sexual quarry must have been an exciting intrusion into the status quo on the part of cultural producers dissatisfied with conventional gender roles and the sexual scripts they enacted. As Matthew Tinkcom writes, the “chance given to queer men and women to labor as queers” is a fundamental component of the “liberatory possibilities” available in Hollywood, where mainstream cultural life “marks the insistence of the camp intellectual on inserting himself into the matrices of cultural production in their most powerful venues.”

Amidst McCarthy-era lavender scare rhetoric, the first stages of lesbian and gay assimilation, self-sustaining social scenes, and expanding counterpublic print networks, Los Angeles also offered a setting where queer men and women mixed, often in the context of Hollywood production cultures, and at times in partnerships directly instigated by production companies and publicity firms. Although The Bob Cummings Show was advertised in many ways, it was not promoted as an adaptation. Nevertheless, the program imagined a relationship between its fictional character Bob Collins and Paul Hesse, a close friend of The Cummings. Hesse was figured as a competitor to Collins in several episodes, while a few critics noted that the show was more or less based on his work at the Paul Hesse Studio on the Sunset Strip, reportedly “a gathering place for advertising and motion picture notables.”

One reference to local celebrity and nationally known Hesse by his interlocutor asserts a “topsy-turvy” arrangement of commercial photography and sexual object choice, in a “turnabout” that renders effeminacy sexually perverse in that it appeals to a range of women with a variety of dating motivations. “Advice to the Lovelorn” represents Hesse as simultaneously homosexual and All-American in comparison to the inverted “paradox” of Bob Collins’s sexually deviant “opposite-sex” drive. When Collins’ skeptical friends wonder how he could have a hard day at work while photographing fifteen beautiful models, Bob predictably defends himself by appealing to the economic logic of market forces. Accused of being unprofessional, Collins attempts to explain: “Now, wait a minute! I happen to be a commercial photographer. I have to take pictures of things that sell products.” Bob makes his announcement as he finishes dressing.

He slips intuitively into a sport coat after easily and elegantly buttoning the textured white shirt he wears, which features a tight collar and slightly tapered short sleeves. The scene draws attention to the fine clothing suited to the Collins character by the fashion lines that sponsored the series.

A “camp picnic” of fey beefcake, the sequence asserts the legitimacy of his glamorous appearance—he is preparing to leave for a business meeting, otherwise known as a date—through its art direction and set design suggesting the office setting’s class and exclusivity, but this visual support for Collins’ attire and good intentions is quickly undercut. Schultzy contradicts Bob’s claim that his choice of subject is justified because images of women are the most marketable. “According to Paul Hesse, who is one of the greatest,” she replies, “children and dogs sell the most products.” Poised to retort, Bob accidentally comments on an erotic component of this comparison instead of the market strategy in question, playing directly into the hands of Margaret and Schultzy, who want him to confess that he enjoys his work. Instead of insisting he shoots models over more wholesome fare because he profits financially, Bob turns defensive. In his frustration, he asserts exactly the opposite of what his pride would have him—he rendezvous with his models. Flustered, Collins acts as if a photographer’s subject is invariably also his sexual object choice. “Let that Paul Hesse date who he wants,” he says in a huff, “I go out with girls!” This joke uses the series’ ongoing camp conflation of work and sex to produce an erotic multiplicity generally considered incompatible with television programming. By referencing the commercial artist, known for his Norman Rockwell-style illustrations as well as his magic touch with stars like Carmen Miranda, the episode asserts an ambiguous artistic/erotic difference between Hesse and Collins in a universalizing satire of postwar era “homosexual panic.”

A Camp Picnic?

In a recent interview about her sitcom career, Ann B. Davis, who often reminisced about being discovered at a cabaret on “the wrong end of Sunset Boulevard,” recalled executive producer George Burns’ attention to preserving the dignity of The
Bob Cummings Show’s more vulnerable gender transgressing actors and characters. In budgeting the episode “Bob Rescues Mrs. Neemeyer,” Burns instructed the wardrobe department to treat accomplished actor Marjorie Bennett to clothes of her own choice at the Beverly Hills boutique where they would buy the “oversized” bathing suit she would wear for one of her two potentially humiliating appearances as a “Bob-chaser.” Davis also remembers Burns intervening in a scene because he found Bob’s rejection of Pamela too harsh and insulting. As it was rewritten, Pamela is the one to snub Bob. In an attempt to scare Pamela off, Bob kisses her. Unruffled, Pamela pauses to evaluate Bob’s performance, and requests another kiss. After he obliges, she says, “No thanks,” and walks away. Comedian Burns, who Alexander Doty has shown figures prominently in the “biographical erotics” around gay-coded, gender queer Jack Benny, did not author the industrially produced text of The Bob Cummings Show, but his awareness of the everyday effects of heterosexism and his attempts to ameliorate the hostility of the normative representational field in which characters like Pamela, Mrs. Neemeyer, and Schultzy would be received suggests that a scene of production prizing and protecting queer difference across gender set the stage for The Bob Cummings Show. Like Kulp’s character, Cummings was himself consistently candid about his avowed eccentricities, displaying a zeal for vegetarianism early in his career, he writes, that “surely exceeded my common sense as well as the sage advice of the publicity experts.” He observed at a later date, speaking of astrology, “people make fun of almost anything you delve into…they make fun of anything they don’t understand. Now I make a hobby of trying things that people make fun of.”

Labeled inconsequential and juvenile even by the Variety reviewer who said it would “bomb bigger than Bikini” if it took itself seriously, The Bob Cummings Show depicted an easily, triumphantly gender-deviant, sex-obsessed production culture as a desirable alternative to a traditional domestic family situation it conflicted with. (Collins sent “the homey heart stuff…to the photographer down the street.”) Asked to advertise such a program, the show’s public relations contributed to a weekly “camp picnic” that, in the words of Tinkcom, had a “subtle capacity to allow its practitioners to inform the world of their ability to produce themselves as different.” In publicizing queer difference in several venues, such as in the broadcast, press relations, and print media depictions of a “negative reaction” between Bob and Schultzy, a Cold War era cultural field that has been considered resolutely heteronormative sustained tensions between a queer sitcom text and the terms through which dominant discourse proscribed anti-normative relationships between women and men. Sold to audiences as an ensemble of seducers, The Bob Cummings Show characters cut across the gender differentiating formation of gay and lesbian identity and dominant discourses of homosexual typing, producing television programming in conflict with the consolidation of heteronormativity in postwar American media. The series’ “negative reaction” to normative values with which it would not mix developed an archive of images that index a queer scene exceeding any single media platform, historical actor, or minoritizing model of sexual and gender difference.

Bob Collins’s homoerotic exchanges with Schultzy and his objectification at the hands of Pamela Livingstone and his femme models produced queer gender erotics through a contingent network of commercial intertexts across a field of heteronormative cultural forms. Print publicity represented The Bob Cummings Show’s counterpublic scene, sometimes in keeping with the episodic programming, and at other times in diverging from this archive. Both forms tended to produce a universalizing and gender transitive model of erotic multiplicity in conflict with the minoritizing and gender differentiating models constitutive of heteronormativity. At a time when U.S. popular culture was beginning to reproduce and naturalize femininity and masculinity by asserting “ethnic” conceptions of fundamentally gender differentiated and newly visible homosexual identities, television comedy generated queer representations that cannot be classified as either gay or lesbian. Their generalizations of sexual deviance are not dictated by object choice; they do not reify an interpretive field biased toward dominant “opposite-sex” erotics by naturalizing gender difference. Instead, these industrial cultures reproduced queer difference in relation to heteronormative institutions with
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which their counterpublic producers were in perpetual conflict.


Notes

This article was made possible by the preservation efforts of the UCLA Film & Television Archive and Brigham Young University’s Arts & Communications Archives. The UCLA Archive and Research Study Center’s Visiting Researcher Stipend allowed me to view episodes of The Bob Cummings Show otherwise unavailable. Thanks go to Mark Quigley, Mark Gens, Irene Adams, James D’Arc, and Russ Taylor for their generous assistance.
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